

1. ($\mathcal{C} = \{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$): COLLECTION OF VECTORS IN SOME VECTOR SPACE \mathcal{V})

CLAIM: \mathcal{C} IS LINEARLY DEPENDENT IF, AND ONLY IF,
ONE OF ITS VECTORS LIVES IN THE SPAN OF THE REST.

PROOF \Rightarrow : SUPPOSE THAT \mathcal{C} IS LINEARLY DEPENDENT, I.E.:

\exists SCALARS $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ (NOT ALL ZERO) (!)
WITH $\alpha_1 \vec{v}_1 + \alpha_2 \vec{v}_2 + \dots + \alpha_n \vec{v}_n = \vec{0}$.

TAKE SUCH α_i 'S AND \vec{v}_i 'S; BY HYPOTHESIS, AT LEAST ONE OF THE α_i 'S IS NONZERO — WITHOUT LOSS OF GENERALITY, SUPPOSE THAT IT'S α_1 ; THEN $\alpha_1 \neq 0$, SO WE CAN SCALE THE WHOLE EQUATION IN (!)

BY $\frac{1}{\alpha_1}$, OBTAINING $\vec{v}_1 + \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1} \vec{v}_2 + \dots + \frac{\alpha_n}{\alpha_1} \vec{v}_n = \vec{0}$

$$\text{I.E., } \vec{v}_1 = \left(-\frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1}\right) \vec{v}_2 + \dots + \left(-\frac{\alpha_n}{\alpha_1}\right) \vec{v}_n$$

— BUT THE RIGHT-HAND SIDE IS A LINEAR COMBINATION OF $\{\vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$, AND THUS IS $\in \text{SPAN}\{\vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$,
SO $\vec{v}_1 \in \text{SPAN}\{\vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$ AS WELL. \checkmark

PROOF \Leftarrow : SUPPOSE THAT ONE VECTOR OF \mathcal{C} LIVES IN THE SPAN OF THE REST — WITHOUT LOSS OF GENERALITY, SUPPOSE IT'S \vec{v}_1 ; THEN

$$\vec{v}_1 \in \text{SPAN}\{\vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$$

SO, BY DEFINITION OF SPAN, \exists SCALARS $\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ WITH

$$\vec{v}_1 = \alpha_2 \vec{v}_2 + \dots + \alpha_n \vec{v}_n$$

BUT THEN $1 \vec{v}_1 + (-\alpha_2) \vec{v}_2 + \dots + (-\alpha_n) \vec{v}_n = \vec{0}$

— BECAUSE THE COEFFICIENT OF \vec{v}_1 IS 1 ($\neq 0$), THIS IS A NONTRIVIAL LINEAR RELATION ON \mathcal{C}

SO, BY DEFINITION, \mathcal{C} IS LINEARLY DEPENDENT \checkmark ■

2. (\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{W} : FINITE COLLECTIONS OF VECTORS IN SOME VECTOR SPACE \mathcal{V})

CLAIM: IF \mathcal{Q} IS L.I. IN \mathcal{V} AND \mathcal{W} SPANS \mathcal{V} , THEN $|\mathcal{Q}| \leq |\mathcal{W}|$

PROOF: LET $\mathcal{Q} = \{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$ AND $\mathcal{W} = \{\vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2, \dots, \vec{w}_m\}$.

STRATEGY: \leftarrow

WHILE MAINTAINING A SPANNING SET,
REPLACE THESE VECTORS, ONE AT A TIME,
WITH RED VECTORS.

• STEP 1: $\vec{v}_1 \in \mathcal{V} = \text{SPAN}\{\vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2, \dots, \vec{w}_m\}$ (SINCE \mathcal{W} SPANS \mathcal{V}), SO

$$\exists \text{ SCALARS } \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m \text{ SUCH THAT}$$

$$\vec{v}_1 = \alpha_1 \vec{w}_1 + \alpha_2 \vec{w}_2 + \alpha_3 \vec{w}_3 + \dots + \alpha_m \vec{w}_m.$$

AT LEAST ONE α_i MUST BE NONZERO, BECAUSE OTHERWISE, THE RIGHT-HAND SIDE WOULD BE $\vec{0}$ AND WE'D OBTAIN A NONTRIVIAL LINEAR RELATION $1 \cdot \vec{v}_1 = \vec{0}$ ON \mathcal{Q} , WHICH IS IMPOSSIBLE, SINCE \mathcal{Q} IS LINEARLY INDEPENDENT.

WITHOUT LOSS OF GENERALITY, SUPPOSE THAT IT'S α_1 (IF NOT, REORDER THE VECTORS OF \mathcal{W} SO THAT IT IS).

THIS MEANS THAT WE CAN REPLACE \vec{w}_1 BY \vec{v}_1 IN OUR COLLECTION WHILE PRESERVING ITS SPAN.

NET EFFECT: $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{w}_2, \vec{w}_3, \dots, \vec{w}_m\}$ SPANS \mathcal{V} .

• STEP 2: $\vec{v}_2 \in \mathcal{V} = \text{SPAN}\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{w}_2, \vec{w}_3, \dots, \vec{w}_m\}$, SO

$$\exists \text{ SCALARS } \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m \text{ SUCH THAT}$$

$$\vec{v}_2 = \alpha_1 \vec{v}_1 + \alpha_2 \vec{w}_2 + \alpha_3 \vec{w}_3 + \dots + \alpha_m \vec{w}_m.$$

AT LEAST ONE OF THE "BLUE" α_i 'S MUST BE NONZERO, BECAUSE OTHERWISE, $\vec{v}_2 = \alpha_1 \vec{v}_1$ GIVES US A NONTRIVIAL LINEAR RELATION $1 \cdot \vec{v}_2 + (-\alpha_1) \vec{v}_1 = \vec{0}$ ON \mathcal{Q} (IMPOSSIBLE).

AGAIN, WITHOUT LOSS OF GENERALITY, SUPPOSE IT'S α_2 . THEN WE CAN REPLACE \vec{w}_2 BY \vec{v}_2 IN OUR COLLECTION WHILE PRESERVING ITS SPAN.

NET EFFECT: $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{w}_3, \dots, \vec{w}_m\}$ SPANS \mathcal{V} .

• WE CAN CONTINUE IN THIS FASHION WITH EACH OF $\vec{v}_3, \dots, \vec{v}_n$ IN TURN — THE IMPORTANT POINT BEING THAT IN THE END, WE END UP WITH A SPANNING SET WITH m VECTORS THAT CONTAINS ALL n OF THE VECTORS $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n$. THUS, $n \leq m$, I.E., $|\mathcal{Q}| \leq |\mathcal{W}|$ ■

3. (a) NOPE!
 (b) NOPE!
 (c) IF A COLLECTION \mathcal{C} BOTH SPANS V AND IS L.I. IN V , WE CALL IT A BASIS FOR V

(THIS SPECIAL CONJUNCTION OF PROPERTIES IS IMPORTANT ENOUGH TO WARRANT A SPECIAL NAME — WE'LL HAVE A LOT MORE TO SAY ABOUT BASES AS WE GO ON.)

4. GIVEN A COLLECTION \mathcal{C} OF COLUMN VECTORS IN \mathbb{R}^m , WE CAN FIND A BASIS FOR $\text{SPAN}(\mathcal{C})$ COMPUTATIONALLY BY REDUCING THE MATRIX $[\mathcal{C}]$ AND LOCATING ITS PIVOT COLUMNS — THE CORRESPONDING VECTORS OF \mathcal{C} ARE THE DESIRED BASIS.

* BE SURE TO TAKE THE VECTORS FROM THE ORIGINAL COLLECTION \mathcal{C} , AND NOT THE REDUCED MATRIX! REDUCING THE MATRIX JUST TELLS US WHICH ONES TO TAKE.

WHY DO THESE VECTORS DO THE JOB?

IF WE WERE TO START OVER WITH A MULTIPLY-AUGMENTED SYSTEM WITH OUR BASIS ON THE LEFT AND THE REST OF \mathcal{C} ON THE RIGHT, WE'D SEE THAT:

• OUR CHOSEN VECTORS ARE L.I. (EACH LEFT-SIDE COLUMN HAS A PIVOT!)

AND • EACH OF THE REST OF THE VECTORS IS AN L.C. OF THE CHOSEN VECTORS, (EACH AUGMENTED COLUMN GIVES A CONSISTENT SYSTEM) SO THE REST CAN BE REMOVED FROM \mathcal{C} WITHOUT CHANGING ITS SPAN.

E.G.,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \textcircled{1} & 2 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \textcircled{1} & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \textcircled{1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \textcircled{1} & 0 & 0 & 2 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & \textcircled{1} & 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \textcircled{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

5. CLAIM: IF $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3\}$ SPANS V AND $\vec{v}_4 \in V$, THEN $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3, \vec{v}_4\}$ IS LINEARLY DEPENDENT.

PROOF: SUPPOSE THAT $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3\}$ SPANS V AND $\vec{v}_4 \in V$; THEN $\vec{v}_4 \in V = \text{SPAN}\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3\}$ SO BY DEFINITION, \exists SCALARS $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ SUCH THAT $\vec{v}_4 = \alpha_1 \vec{v}_1 + \alpha_2 \vec{v}_2 + \alpha_3 \vec{v}_3$. WELL, THEN $1 \cdot \vec{v}_4 + (-\alpha_1) \vec{v}_1 + (-\alpha_2) \vec{v}_2 + (-\alpha_3) \vec{v}_3 = \vec{0}$, WHICH IS A NONTRIVIAL LINEAR RELATION ON $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3, \vec{v}_4\}$. SO, BY DEFINITION, $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3, \vec{v}_4\}$ IS LINEARLY DEPENDENT. ■

6. CLAIM: IF $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3\}$ IS L.I. IN V , THEN $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2\}$ DOES NOT SPAN V .

PROOF: (BECAUSE OUR CONCLUSION IS A NEGATIVE STATEMENT, WE MIGHT BE BEST OFF USING PROOF BY CONTRADICTION: WE CAN SHOW $P \Rightarrow Q$ BY PROVING THAT $[P \text{ AND NOT } Q]$ IS FALSE)

SUPPOSE THAT $\overbrace{\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3\}}^{(P)}$ IS L.I. IN V AND THAT $\underbrace{\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2\}}_{\text{(NOT } Q)}$ SPANS V

THEN ① $\alpha_1 \vec{v}_1 + \alpha_2 \vec{v}_2 + \alpha_3 \vec{v}_3 = \vec{0} \Rightarrow \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 = 0$

AND ② $\forall \vec{v} \in V, \exists$ SCALARS β_1, β_2 WITH $\vec{v} = \beta_1 \vec{v}_1 + \beta_2 \vec{v}_2$.

(WHAT TO DO? WELL, \vec{v}_3 IS THE DISTINGUISHED VECTOR THAT WE THREW OUT — HOW ABOUT USING IT IN ②?)

$\vec{v}_3 \in V$, SO BY ②, \exists SCALARS β_1, β_2 WITH $\vec{v}_3 = \beta_1 \vec{v}_1 + \beta_2 \vec{v}_2$.

(IS ① HAPPY WITH THIS? NO...)

THUS, $\beta_1 \vec{v}_1 + \beta_2 \vec{v}_2 + (-1) \vec{v}_3 = \vec{0}$, SO ① $\Rightarrow \beta_1, \beta_2, -1 = 0$ MONSENSE!

THIS IS FALSE, SO WE'VE SHOWN OUR IMPLICATION VIA CONTRADICTION ■

7. (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} : COLLECTIONS OF VECTORS IN A VECTOR SPACE V , WITH $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{D}$)

(a) IF \mathcal{C} SPANS V AND $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{D}$, THEN \mathcal{D} ALSO SPANS V .

WHY? IF \mathcal{C} SPANS V , WE CAN INSERT ANY VECTORS IN ITS SPAN — I.E., ANY VECTORS IN V — TO IT WITHOUT CHANGING ITS SPAN; IN PARTICULAR, IF WE INSERT THE REST OF \mathcal{D} INTO IT, THE RESULTING COLLECTION (\mathcal{D}) SPANS V AS WELL.

(b) IF \mathcal{C} IS L.I. AND $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{D}$, NOTHING CAN BE CONCLUDED ABOUT \mathcal{D} .

WHY? WELL, \mathcal{D} COULD CONTAIN VECTORS ALREADY IN THE SPAN OF \mathcal{C} — EVEN THE ZERO VECTOR — WHICH WOULD KILL LINEAR INDEPENDENCE. ON THE OTHER HAND, \mathcal{D} COULD ALSO BE L.I. AS WELL!

(c) IF \mathcal{D} SPANS V AND $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{D}$, NOTHING CAN BE CONCLUDED ABOUT \mathcal{C} .

WHY? WELL, CONSIDER THE EXTREME CASE $\mathcal{C} = \{0\}$: THEN $\text{SPAN}(\mathcal{C})$ WOULD BE $\{0\}$, WHICH CERTAINLY MIGHT NOT BE ALL OF V !

(d) IF \mathcal{D} IS L.I. AND $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{D}$, THEN \mathcal{C} IS ALSO L.I.

WHY? WE CAN REMOVE ANY VECTOR(S) WE LIKE FROM A L.I. COLLECTION, AND IT STAYS L.I.

8. $|\mathcal{C}_{\text{L.I.}}| \leq |\mathcal{C}_{\text{BASIS}}| \leq |\mathcal{C}_{\text{SPAN}}|$
 BECAUSE $\mathcal{C}_{\text{BASIS}}$ IS L.I. + $\mathcal{C}_{\text{SPAN}}$ SPANS.
 BECAUSE $\mathcal{C}_{\text{L.I.}}$ IS L.I. AND $\mathcal{C}_{\text{BASIS}}$ SPANS!

$$9. \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & -2 & -3 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & -2 \\ -1 & -4 & 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \rightsquigarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$\therefore \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ -4 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ -2 \\ 2 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ IS A BASIS FOR THE SPAN

$$10. \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 3 \\ -2 & 3 & 7 \end{bmatrix} \rightsquigarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$\therefore \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ IS A BASIS FOR THE SPAN

$$11. \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -3 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & -4 \end{bmatrix} \rightsquigarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$\therefore \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 1 \\ -4 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ IS A BASIS FOR THE SPAN.