

(V : VECTOR SPACE OVER A FIELD F ;
 $\mathcal{C} = \{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$: FINITE COLLECTION OF VECTORS IN V)

1. A LINEAR RELATION ON \mathcal{C} IS A WAY OF WRITING $\vec{0}$ AS A LINEAR COMBINATION OF \mathcal{C}

— I.E., A CHOICE OF SCALARS $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n \in F$
 FOR WHICH $q_1\vec{v}_1 + q_2\vec{v}_2 + \dots + q_n\vec{v}_n = \vec{0}$.

- THE TRIVIAL LINEAR RELATION ON A COLLECTION IS THE ONE FOR WHICH ALL CHOSEN SCALARS ARE ZERO.

(SUCH A LINEAR RELATION TELLS US NOTHING ABOUT \mathcal{C} , BECAUSE WE CAN OBVIOUSLY GET $\vec{0}$ BY TAKING OUR COEFFICIENTS TO ALL BE ZERO!)

2. \mathcal{C} IS LINEARLY INDEPENDENT IF THE ONLY LINEAR RELATION ON \mathcal{C} IS THE TRIVIAL ONE, I.E., IF

$$q_1\vec{v}_1 + q_2\vec{v}_2 + \dots + q_n\vec{v}_n = \vec{0} \Rightarrow q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n = 0$$

A PRIORI, THIS TELLS US THAT THE ZERO VECTOR CAN ONLY BE WRITTEN AS A L.C. OF \mathcal{C} IN THE "TRIVIAL" WAY; BUT THIS TELLS US THAT ANY VECTOR $\vec{v} \in V$ CAN BE WRITTEN AS A L.C. OF \mathcal{C} IN AT MOST ONE WAY, TOO:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{IF } q_1\vec{v}_1 + q_2\vec{v}_2 + \dots + q_n\vec{v}_n = \vec{v} = \beta_1\vec{v}_1 + \beta_2\vec{v}_2 + \dots + \beta_n\vec{v}_n, \\ &\text{THEN } (q_1 - \beta_1)\vec{v}_1 + (q_2 - \beta_2)\vec{v}_2 + \dots + (q_n - \beta_n)\vec{v}_n = \vec{0} \\ &\Rightarrow q_1 - \beta_1, q_2 - \beta_2, \dots, q_n - \beta_n = 0 \quad (\text{BY LINEAR INDEPENDENCE}) \\ &\Rightarrow q_1 = \beta_1, q_2 = \beta_2, \dots, q_n = \beta_n \end{aligned}$$

IN SUMMARY, ANY TWO WAYS OF WRITING \vec{v} AS A L.C. OF \mathcal{C} MUST HAVE EXACTLY THE SAME COEFFICIENTS — SO THERE CAN BE AT MOST ONE WAY OF DOING SO.

→ LINEAR INDEPENDENCE OF \mathcal{C} DOESN'T SAY ANYTHING AT ALL ABOUT WHETHER OR NOT A VECTOR CAN BE WRITTEN AS A L.C. OF \mathcal{C} — IT MERELY SAYS THAT IF ONE CAN, THE WAY OF DOING SO IS UNIQUE!

3. \mathcal{C} IS LINEARLY DEPENDENT, THEN, IF THERE IS A NONTRIVIAL LINEAR RELATION ON \mathcal{C} , I.E., IF

$$\exists \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \in F \text{ NOT ALL ZERO SUCH THAT } \alpha_1\vec{v}_1 + \alpha_2\vec{v}_2 + \dots + \alpha_n\vec{v}_n = \vec{0}$$

* NOTE THAT THIS IS SIMPLY THE LOGICAL NEGATION OF THE DEFINITION OF \mathcal{C} BEING LINEARLY INDEPENDENT — I.E., THE EXISTENCE OF A COUNTEREXAMPLE TO THAT IMPLICATION.

4. WHILE PRESERVING THE LINEAR INDEPENDENCE OF A COLLECTION \mathcal{C} , WE CAN:

- INSERT ANY VECTOR THAT IS NOT A LINEAR COMBINATION OF THOSE ALREADY PRESENT IN THE COLLECTION.
- REMOVE ANY VECTOR IN THE COLLECTION.
- REPLACE ANY VECTOR \vec{v} IN \mathcal{C} BY ANY L.C. OF \mathcal{C} HAVING A NONZERO COEFFICIENT OF \vec{v} .
 E.G., IF $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3\}$ IS L.I.,
 THEN SO IS $\{4\vec{v}_1 + \vec{v}_2 - 3\vec{v}_3, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3\}$
↑ NONZERO COEFFICIENT OF \vec{v}_1

(WE CAN PROVE THESE ASSERTIONS VIA THE)
 DEFINITION OF LINEAR INDEPENDENCE

5. (\mathcal{C} : FINITE COLLECTION OF COLUMN VECTORS IN \mathbb{R}^m)

(a) IF \mathcal{C} IS LINEARLY INDEPENDENT, ALL SYSTEMS ARISING FROM \mathcal{C} HAVE EITHER A UNIQUE SOLUTION OR NO SOLUTIONS, BECAUSE AS DISCUSSED IN PROBLEM 2, EVERY VECTOR IN \mathbb{R}^m CAN BE WRITTEN AS AN L.C. OF \mathcal{C} IN AT MOST ONE WAY.

(IF, ON THE OTHER HAND, \mathcal{C} IS LINEARLY DEPENDENT, THEN ALL SYSTEMS ARISING FROM \mathcal{C} HAVE EITHER INFINITELY MANY SOLUTIONS OR NO SOLUTIONS.)

(b) TO CHECK WHETHER A COLLECTION \mathcal{C} OF COLUMN VECTORS IS LINEARLY INDEPENDENT, WE JUST FORM THE MATRIX $[\mathcal{C}]$ (UNAUGMENTED) AND REDUCE IT — IF THERE IS A PIVOT IN EVERY COLUMN, THEN \mathcal{C} IS LINEARLY INDEPENDENT.

WHY? WHEN WE USE $[\mathcal{C}]$ HERE, WE'RE JUST LEAVING OFF AN AUGMENTATION BY THE ZERO VECTOR, AND THUS SOLVING FOR $\vec{0}$ AS A L.C. OF THESE VECTORS — IF EVERY COLUMN HAS A PIVOT, THEN WHEN WE SOLVE FOR THE COEFFICIENTS, WE FIND THAT THEY ALL MUST EQUAL ZERO (I.E., THE ONLY SOLUTION IS THE TRIVIAL L.C.; IF NOT, THEN THE (NON-PIVOT) FREE VARIABLE(S) GIVE US A NONTRIVIAL LINEAR RELATION).

6. (a) (ANY COLLECTION \mathcal{C} CONTAINING THE ZERO VECTOR IS LINEARLY DEPENDENT)

SUPPOSE THAT $\vec{0} \in \mathcal{C}$; THEN WE AUTOMATICALLY HAVE A NONTRIVIAL LINEAR RELATION ON \mathcal{C} : $1 \cdot \vec{0} = \vec{0}$,

↑ VECTOR OF \mathcal{C}
NONZERO COEFFICIENT

SO BY DEFINITION, \mathcal{C} IS LINEARLY DEPENDENT. ■

(b) (IF $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3\}$ IS LINEARLY DEPENDENT, THEN ONE OF THE THREE VECTORS MUST BE A L.C. OF THE OTHER TWO)

SUPPOSE THAT $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3\}$ IS LINEARLY DEPENDENT.

THEN \exists SCALARS $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$, NOT ALL ZERO, WITH $\alpha_1 \vec{v}_1 + \alpha_2 \vec{v}_2 + \alpha_3 \vec{v}_3 = \vec{0}$.

IF $\alpha_1 \neq 0$, THEN $\vec{v}_1 = -\alpha_2 \vec{v}_2 - \alpha_3 \vec{v}_3$, SO $\vec{v}_1 = -\frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1} \vec{v}_2 - \frac{\alpha_3}{\alpha_1} \vec{v}_3$;
THUS, \vec{v}_1 IS A L.C. OF $\{\vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3\}$ ✓

IF $\alpha_2 \neq 0$, THEN $\vec{v}_2 = -\alpha_1 \vec{v}_1 - \alpha_3 \vec{v}_3$, SO $\vec{v}_2 = -\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2} \vec{v}_1 - \frac{\alpha_3}{\alpha_2} \vec{v}_3$;
THUS, \vec{v}_2 IS A L.C. OF $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_3\}$ ✓

JUST LIKE THE FIRST CASE
IF $\alpha_3 \neq 0$, THEN $\vec{v}_3 = -\alpha_1 \vec{v}_1 - \alpha_2 \vec{v}_2$, SO $\vec{v}_3 = -\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_3} \vec{v}_1 - \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_3} \vec{v}_2$;
THUS, \vec{v}_3 IS A L.C. OF $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2\}$ ■

7. (a) IF $\mathcal{C} = \{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3\}$ IS L.I., THEN $\mathcal{C}' = \{\vec{v}_1 - 4\vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3\}$ IS ALSO L.I.:

- ON PRINCIPLE: ALL THAT WE'VE DONE IS REPLACED ONE VECTOR (\vec{v}_1) OF \mathcal{C} WITH A LINEAR COMBINATION OF \mathcal{C} HAVING A NONZERO COEFFICIENT FOR \vec{v}_1 , WHICH IS ONE OF OUR L.I.-PRESERVING OPERATIONS ■

- DIRECTLY: SUPPOSE THAT \mathcal{C} IS LINEARLY INDEPENDENT, I.E.,

$$\alpha_1 \vec{v}_1 + \alpha_2 \vec{v}_2 + \alpha_3 \vec{v}_3 = \vec{0} \Rightarrow \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 = 0 \quad (!)$$

TO SHOW THAT \mathcal{C}' IS LINEARLY INDEPENDENT,
SUPPOSE THAT

$$\beta_1 (\vec{v}_1 - 4\vec{v}_2) + \beta_2 \vec{v}_2 + \beta_3 \vec{v}_3 = \vec{0}, \text{ I.E.,}$$

$$\beta_1 \vec{v}_1 + (-4\beta_2 + \beta_2) \vec{v}_2 + \beta_3 \vec{v}_3 = \vec{0}$$

(NOW TAKE $\alpha_1 = \beta_1$, $\alpha_2 = -4\beta_2 + \beta_2$, AND $\alpha_3 = \beta_3$ IN (!))

WHICH $\Rightarrow \beta_1 = 0$, $-4\beta_2 + \beta_2 = 0$, AND $\beta_3 = 0$.

$$\Downarrow \beta_2 = -4\beta_1 = 0 \quad \checkmark$$

THUS $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3 = 0$, AND WE'VE VERIFIED THAT
 \mathcal{C}' IS LINEARLY INDEPENDENT. ■

(b) IF $\mathcal{C} = \{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3\}$ IS L.I., THEN SO IS $\mathcal{C}' = \{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2\}$:

- ON PRINCIPLE: \mathcal{C}' IS JUST \mathcal{C} WITH ONE VECTOR REMOVED
— THIS IS ONE OF OUR OPERATIONS THAT PRESERVES LINEAR INDEPENDENCE ■

- DIRECTLY: SUPPOSE THAT \mathcal{C} IS LINEARLY INDEPENDENT, I.E.,

$$\alpha_1 \vec{v}_1 + \alpha_2 \vec{v}_2 + \alpha_3 \vec{v}_3 = \vec{0} \Rightarrow \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 = 0 \quad (!)$$

TO SHOW THAT \mathcal{C}' IS LINEARLY INDEPENDENT,

SUPPOSE THAT $\beta_1 \vec{v}_1 + \beta_2 \vec{v}_2 = \vec{0}$.

$$\text{THEN } \beta_1 \vec{v}_1 + \beta_2 \vec{v}_2 + 0 \vec{v}_3 = \vec{0}$$

(NOW TAKE $\alpha_1 = \beta_1$, $\alpha_2 = \beta_2$, $\alpha_3 = 0$ IN (!))

WHICH $\Rightarrow \beta_1 = 0$, $\beta_2 = 0$, $0 = 0$ BY HYPOTHESIS,

SO $\beta_1, \beta_2 = 0$ ■

(c) IF $\mathcal{C} = \{\vec{v}, \vec{w}\}$ IS L.I., THEN $\mathcal{C}' = \{2\vec{v} - \vec{w}, \vec{v} + 3\vec{w}\}$ IS L.I.:

- ON PRINCIPLE: USING THE FACT THAT WHILE PRESERVING LINEAR INDEPENDENCE, WE CAN REPLACE ANY VECTOR OF THE COLLECTION WITH ANY L.C. OF THE COLLECTION HAVING A NONZERO COEFFICIENT FOR IT:

$$\{\vec{v}, \vec{w}\} \text{ L.I.} \Rightarrow \{2\vec{v} - \vec{w}, \vec{w}\} \text{ L.I.}$$

$$\text{Now, } \vec{v} + 3\vec{w} = \frac{1}{2}(2\vec{v} - \vec{w}) + \frac{7}{2}\vec{w},$$

\vec{w} NONZERO COEFFICIENT

SO REPLACING \vec{w} , $\{2\vec{v} - \vec{w}, \vec{v} + 3\vec{w}\}$ IS L.I. ■

- DIRECTLY: WE KNOW THAT SINCE \mathcal{C} IS L.I.,

$$\alpha_1 \vec{v} + \alpha_2 \vec{w} = \vec{0} \Rightarrow \alpha_1, \alpha_2 = 0 \quad (!)$$

SUPPOSE THAT $\beta_1(2\vec{v} - \vec{w}) + \beta_2(\vec{v} + 3\vec{w}) = \vec{0}$, I.E.,

$$(2\beta_1 + \beta_2)\vec{v} + (-\beta_1 + 3\beta_2)\vec{w} = \vec{0},$$

(NOW TAKE $\alpha_1 = 2\beta_1 + \beta_2$, $\alpha_2 = -\beta_1 + 3\beta_2$ IN (!))

WHICH $\Rightarrow 2\beta_1 + \beta_2 = 0$ AND $-\beta_1 + 3\beta_2 = 0$

$$\text{QUICKLY SOLVING, } \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} \beta_1 & \beta_2 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 3 & 0 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{RREF}} \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right],$$

SO $\beta_1 = 0$, $\beta_2 = 0$ ■

(RECALL THAT A COLLECTION \mathcal{C} IS L.I.
 \Leftrightarrow AFTER REDUCING $[\mathcal{C}]$, EVERY COLUMN HAS A PIVOT)

8. $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \rightsquigarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ YES, THESE ARE L.I. ✓

**REMEMBER THAT
 WHEN WE DO THIS,
 WE'RE JUST LEAVING
 OFF AN AUGMENTATION
 BY $\vec{0}$**

9. $\begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_3 \\ 4 & -4 & -8 \\ -12 & 13 & 27 \\ -8 & 7 & 13 \end{bmatrix} \rightsquigarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ α_3 : FREE
 $\alpha_1 = -\alpha_3$
 $\alpha_2 = -3\alpha_3$
NOT L.I.

TO FIND A NONTRIVIAL LINEAR RELATION,
 JUST TAKE α_3 TO BE ANYTHING NONZERO
 (E.G., $\alpha_3=1$) TO FIND COEFFICIENTS:

$$\alpha_3=1 \Rightarrow \alpha_1=-1, \alpha_2=-3$$

$$\therefore -\begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ -12 \\ -8 \end{bmatrix} - 3\begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ 13 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -8 \\ 27 \\ 13 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

NONTRIVIAL LINEAR RELATION!

10. (LIKE PROBLEM 9, BUT WITH A "C"... JUST ROLL WITH IT!)

$$-2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & c \end{pmatrix} \rightsquigarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & -3 & c \end{pmatrix} \cdot \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\rightsquigarrow -2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{3}{2} \\ 0 & -3 & c \end{pmatrix} \rightsquigarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -3 \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{3}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & c + \frac{9}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

THIS WILL BE A PIVOT,
 UNLESS $c + \frac{9}{2} = 0$... SO THE VECTORS
 WILL BE LINEARLY DEPENDENT IF $c = -\frac{9}{2}$

IF $c = -\frac{9}{2}$, THIS BECOMES $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -3 \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{3}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, SO
 α_3 : FREE
 $\alpha_1 = 3\alpha_3$
 $\alpha_2 = -\frac{3}{2}\alpha_3$

TAKING $\alpha_3=1$ (E.G.), WE GET $\alpha_1=3$, $\alpha_2=-\frac{3}{2}$, AND THUS
 WE OBTAIN THE NONTRIVIAL LINEAR RELATION

$$3\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{3}{2}\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 3 \\ -\frac{9}{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

11. (ONE MORE TIME, NOW WITH THREE UNKNOWNs!)

$$-1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & a \\ 0 & 1 & b \\ 1 & 1 & c \end{pmatrix} \rightsquigarrow -1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & a \\ 0 & 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 & c-a \end{pmatrix} \rightsquigarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & a \\ 0 & 1 & b \\ 0 & 0 & c-a-b \end{pmatrix}$$

WE'LL GET OUR THIRD PIVOT HERE!
 IF, AND ONLY IF, $c-a-b \neq 0$.

\therefore THESE COLUMN VECTORS WILL BE L.I. IF $c-a-b \neq 0$;
 THEY WILL BE LINEARLY DEPENDENT IF $c-a-b=0$.