

Matrix representation of linear transformations

- Theorem: Every linear transformation $L : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is given by a matrix.
 - Proof: Let A be the $m \times n$ matrix whose j^{th} column is $L\vec{e}_j$, and show that $\forall \vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, A\vec{x} = L\vec{x}$.
- **The Fundamental Theorem of Linear Transformations:** Every linear transformation $L : V \rightarrow W$, where V and W are finite-dimensional vector spaces, can be represented by a matrix.
 - Proof:
 - Let $\mathcal{V} = (\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n)$ be a basis for V and $\mathcal{W} = (\vec{w}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_m)$ be a basis for W .
 - Use the isomorphisms provided by the bases \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{W} to form ${}_{\mathcal{W}}[L]_{\mathcal{V}} = [\mathcal{W}]^{-1}L[\mathcal{V}]$; since ${}_{\mathcal{W}}[L]_{\mathcal{V}} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, it is given by a matrix.
 - Solving, $L = [\mathcal{W}]_{\mathcal{W}}[L]_{\mathcal{V}}[\mathcal{V}]^{-1}$.
 - The workings of the FTLT are illustrated by a *commutative diagram*.
 - With L, \mathcal{V} , and \mathcal{W} as above, ${}_{\mathcal{W}}[L]_{\mathcal{V}}$ can thus be computed as follows:

The j^{th} column of ${}_{\mathcal{W}}[L]_{\mathcal{V}}$ is the \mathcal{W} -coordinate vector of $L\vec{v}_j$.
 - A matrix representation of a linear transformation L is *not intrinsic* to L —the matrix depends very much upon the choice of bases for the domain and codomain.
- Matrix representations allow us, after choosing bases for the domain and codomain of a linear transformation L , to translate any computation involving L into a question about matrices and column vectors; once these simpler questions are answered, we can use our bases to translate our answers back to their original context.

Change of basis

- Given $L : V \rightarrow W$, many different matrices can be used to represent L , depending on our choice of bases for V and W . If we have bases \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{V}' for V and bases \mathcal{W} and \mathcal{W}' for W , the matrices ${}_{\mathcal{W}}[L]_{\mathcal{V}}$ and ${}_{\mathcal{W}'}[L]_{\mathcal{V}'}$ are related by:

$${}_{\mathcal{W}'}[L]_{\mathcal{V}'} = ([\mathcal{W}']^{-1}[\mathcal{W}]){}_{\mathcal{W}}[L]_{\mathcal{V}}([\mathcal{V}]^{-1}[\mathcal{V}']);$$

The underlined matrices above are called change-of-basis matrices.

- Attaching the diagrams for ${}_{\mathcal{W}}[L]_{\mathcal{V}}$ and ${}_{\mathcal{W}'}[L]_{\mathcal{V}'}$ gives us a *commutative diagram* illustrating change of basis.

- In general, given bases \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} for a k -dimensional vector space, the isomorphism $[\mathcal{B}]^{-1}[\mathcal{A}] : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ is given by an invertible $k \times k$ matrix, called a *change-of-basis matrix*.
 - $[\mathcal{B}]^{-1}[\mathcal{A}]$ sends each \mathcal{A} -coordinate vector to the \mathcal{B} -coordinates for the same vector, so:

The j^{th} column of $[\mathcal{B}]^{-1}[\mathcal{A}]$ is the \mathcal{B} -coordinate vector of the j^{th} vector of \mathcal{A} .

- In the case of column vectors, we can use matrix reduction to compute change-of-basis matrices:

$$[\mathcal{B} \mid \mathcal{A}] \rightsquigarrow [I \mid [\mathcal{B}]^{-1}[\mathcal{A}]].$$

We can also use this method to compute change-of-basis matrices for other types of vectors, by using a basis to translate the given bases \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} into column vectors.

- Via change of basis, the question of whether two matrices A and B are *equivalent* (i.e., represent the same linear transformation, possibly with respect to different bases) amounts to whether \exists invertible matrices X and Y such that $B = XAY^{-1}$.
 - Taking bases \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{W} for the domain and codomain of $L : V \rightarrow W$, respectively, along the lines of those in the proof of the Rank+Nullity Theorem, we can force ${}_{\mathcal{W}}[L]_{\mathcal{V}}$ into the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & 0 \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & 1 & \\ 0 & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ where the matrix is of size } \dim W \times \dim V \text{ and has } (\text{rank } L) \text{ ones.}$$

Any matrix A is equivalent to one, and only one, such matrix, giving a simple resolution of the equivalence problem: A and B are represent equivalent linear transformations if, and only if, they have the same *size* and *rank*.

- Each of our basic *row operations* for matrix reduction (exchange, scaling, and adding multiples) is the effect of a particular *change of basis*.
 - This provides a conceptual explanation of our method of matrix reduction for solving linear systems: we are changing the basis in which we represent our vectors, until the linear combination problem becomes simple enough to see the answer.