

Relationships between spanning sets, linearly independent sets, and bases

- \mathcal{C} is linearly dependent in $V \Leftrightarrow$ one of its vectors is a linear combination of the rest.
- If \mathcal{V} is linearly independent in V and \mathcal{W} spans V , then $|\mathcal{V}| \leq |\mathcal{W}|$.
 - Method of proof: incrementally replace vectors of \mathcal{V} into \mathcal{W} (maintaining it as a spanning set) via careful use of the hypotheses and replacement rules.
 - Consequences:
 - If \mathcal{C}_s spans V , \mathcal{C}_{li} is linearly independent in V , and \mathcal{C}_b is a basis for V , then $|\mathcal{C}_{li}| \leq |\mathcal{C}_b| \leq |\mathcal{C}_s|$.
 - All bases for V have the same size (because one is l.i. and the other spans V , and vice-versa).

Basis and dimension

- $\dim V$ is defined as the size of any basis for V .
 - This is intrinsic to V , because all bases for V have the same size.
- Any *linearly independent* collection in V can be *extended* to a basis for V .
 - Method of proof: iteratively insert a vector not in the collection's span, until the collection spans V .
 - Consequences:
 - A collection of size larger than $\dim V$ can't be linearly independent in V .
 - A linearly independent collection having size $\dim V$ *must be* a basis for V .
 - Every finite-dimensional vector space has a basis (extend the [l.i.] empty collection to a basis).
- Any *spanning set* for V can be *reduced* to a basis for V .
 - Method of proof: iteratively remove a vector that's a linear combination of the rest, until the collection is linearly independent in V .
 - Consequences:
 - A collection of size smaller than $\dim V$ can't span V .
 - A spanning set of V having size $\dim V$ *must be* a basis for V .

Bases and coordinate mappings

Suppose that $\mathcal{B} = (\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n)$ is an ordered basis for a vector space V .

We then have a corresponding **linear combination function** $[\mathcal{B}] : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow V$ and **\mathcal{B} -coordinate function** $[\mathcal{B}]^{-1} : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$.

- $[\mathcal{B}] : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow V$ maps each coordinate [coefficient] vector in \mathbb{R}^n to the corresponding linear combination of \mathcal{B} in V .
 - Because \mathcal{B} is a basis for V , $[\mathcal{B}]$ pairs each column vector in \mathbb{R}^n with one vector of V and vice-versa.
 - $[\mathcal{B}]$ is bijective and linear, so it gives a vector space *isomorphism* from \mathbb{R}^n to V .
- $[\mathcal{B}]^{-1} : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is the inverse of the linear combination function $[\mathcal{B}]$.
 - $[\mathcal{B}]^{-1}$ maps each vector in $\vec{v} \in V$ to its **\mathcal{B} -coordinates** (the vector of coefficients that build \vec{v} as a l.c. of \mathcal{B}).
 - $[\mathcal{B}]^{-1}$ is also bijective and linear, and thus also gives an isomorphism (from V to \mathbb{R}^n).
- Being inverse functions, $[\mathcal{B}][\mathcal{B}]^{-1} : V \rightarrow V$ and $[\mathcal{B}]^{-1}[\mathcal{B}] : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ are just the identity maps (i.e., these functions cancel each other).
- When dealing with bases, coordinates, and the functions $[\mathcal{B}]$ and $[\mathcal{B}]^{-1}$, always be alert to which vector space and basis you're dealing with and what the entries of a given column vector represent; with this kept in mind, all that these functions do is either form a linear combination of \mathcal{B} or find the coefficients to build a vector as a linear combination of \mathcal{B} .

Common bases

- The **standard basis** for \mathbb{R}^m is $(\vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2, \dots, \vec{e}_m)$, where \vec{e}_j has all zero entries except for a 1 in the j^{th} position.
- For the subspace $P_n(x) = \{a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n : a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}\}$ of $\mathbb{R}[x]$, we have the basis $(1, x, x^2, \dots, x^n)$.

- An **isomorphism** from V to W is a bijection $\varphi : V \rightarrow W$ with the property of *linearity*:

$$\forall \vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n \in V \text{ and scalars } \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \\ \varphi(\alpha_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \vec{v}_n) = \alpha_1 \varphi(\vec{v}_1) + \dots + \alpha_n \varphi(\vec{v}_n)$$

- The *inverse* of an isomorphism is an isomorphism, and the *composition* of two isomorphisms is an isomorphism.
- Two vector spaces V and W are **isomorphic** if there exists an isomorphism from V to W .
 - For V and W to be isomorphic means that they, in a very literal sense, have the “same shape” as each other—they are mathematically equivalent as vector spaces.
 - An isomorphism (and its inverse) allow us to translate *any* linear algebra problem in V to one in W and vice-versa:
 - Each vector in V has a corresponding vector in W , and vice-versa.
 - Each linear combination in V has a corresponding linear combination in W , and vice-versa.
 - Each spanning set, linearly independent set, or basis in V has a corresponding spanning set, linearly independent set, or basis in W , and vice-versa.
 - Any *other* problem formed from the concept of linear combination can be translated from V to W , and vice-versa.
- The **FTVS**: Any n -dimensional vector space V [over \mathbb{R}] is isomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n .
 - Method of proof: Taking any *basis* $\mathcal{B} = (\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n)$ for V gives us a coordinate isomorphism $[\mathcal{B}]^{-1} : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$.
- Corollary to the FTVS: Any two vector spaces V and W of the same dimensions [over the same field] are isomorphic.
 - Method of proof: Take bases for V and W , giving coordinate isomorphisms for each; invert one and compose to obtain an isomorphism from V to W .
 - Consequence: dimension is the *fundamental* property intrinsic to an abstract vector space (considered up to isomorphism).
- Computation via the FTVS: We can translate any linear algebra problem in any finite-dimensional vector space into a column vector problem, simply by choosing a basis for the vector space and working with coordinates (then translating our result).

Column-vector methods

Suppose that we have collections of **column vectors** \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} in \mathbb{R}^m .

Spanning

- $\vec{v} \in \text{span } \mathcal{C} \Leftrightarrow [\mathcal{C} \mid \vec{v}]$ is consistent. $\vec{v} \notin \text{span } \mathcal{C} \Leftrightarrow [\mathcal{C} \mid \vec{v}]$ is inconsistent.
- $\text{span } \mathcal{C} \supset \text{span } \mathcal{D} \Leftrightarrow [\mathcal{C} \mid \mathcal{D}]$ is consistent. $\text{span } \mathcal{C} = \text{span } \mathcal{D} \Leftrightarrow [\mathcal{C} \mid \mathcal{D}]$ and $[\mathcal{D} \mid \mathcal{C}]$ and are both consistent.
- \mathcal{C} spans $\mathbb{R}^m \Leftrightarrow [\mathcal{C}]$ gives a pivot in every row.

Linear Independence

- \mathcal{C} is linearly independent $\Leftrightarrow [\mathcal{C}]$ gives a pivot in every column. \mathcal{C} is linearly dependent if not.
- Coefficients for linear relations on \mathcal{C} are found by solving $[\mathcal{C} \mid \vec{0}]$. (for a *nontrivial* linear rel'n, set any free variable to 1)

Bases

- \mathcal{C} is a basis for $\mathbb{R}^m \Leftrightarrow [\mathcal{C}]$ gives a pivot in every row and column.
- To find a basis for $\text{span } \mathcal{C}$, take the vectors of \mathcal{C} that give pivots in $[\mathcal{C}]$.
- To extend a l.i. collection \mathcal{C} to a basis for \mathbb{R}^m , append the standard basis to \mathcal{C} and keep the ones that give pivots.

Coordinates Suppose that \mathcal{B} is a basis for \mathbb{R}^m .

- Compute $[\mathcal{B}]\vec{x}$ as usual, simply by using the entries of \vec{x} to form a linear combination of \mathcal{B} .
- Compute $[\mathcal{B}]^{-1}\vec{x}$ by solving $[\mathcal{B} \mid \vec{x}]$ and expressing the solution as a column vector.